Overview

Background

Goals for the course

Goals for computer security

Background

What's your background?

- academic program
- security background/interest/practices

My background

- education
- privacy and security research

3/18

I did my undergraduate degree here at Memorial; I know (and care about!) the program deeply. Then I went off to the University of Cambridge for my PhD, where I looked into some of the privacy problems with online social networking (TL;DR: there are a lot) and approaches by which we could build something better.

My graduate students and I work in privacy and security for real systems, very broadly defined. Specifically, we've done work in:

- security protocols
- operating systems
 - application sandboxing
 - cryptographic filesystems
 - security-enhanced hardware
- privacy and social networks (PhD, IEEE Security & Privacy summary)
- applications:
 - full-motion video integrity
 - health privacy
 - marine systems

Course goals



What are you looking for in this course?

My goal: give an introduction to fundamental ideas.

- "what every computer engineer should know about security"
- **not** a rigorous treatment of all aspects of computer security: foundation for further study with **some** in-depth exploration

To learn how to pass, please consult the course outline!	
You won't come out of this course being an expert in computer security. Specific	ially, you should
call yourself a:	
• cryptographer	
• network defender	
penetration tester	
• protocol designer	
• secure "coder"	
After completing this course, you should at least have the	with which to
discuss security issues that come up in computer engineering.	

Course content

Introduction

Software security

Host security

Network security

Web security

5/18

The four content modules of the course will be approximately similar in length, although they may not be exactly the same in breadth or depth. We will be able to go deeper in some areas than in others.

Course resources

Tools and Jewels (van Oorschot)

- Hardcover from Springer
- Free PDFs from PVO's website

Security Engineering (Anderson)

- Third edition is current
- Second edition still available as free PDFs



6/18

Everything that I'll expect you to know will be discussed in the lectures. Thus, I suggest that you _______ in these lectures of what we discuss and _______ immediately afterwards. Make sure that you _______ these ideas and, where possible, can _______ them. In addition to the lecture materials, further reading is definitely encouraged! Rather than scouring

In addition to the lecture materials, further reading is definitely encouraged! Rather than scouring YouTube, however, where you can find anybody to say anything, I'll point you at a couple of excellent (but still free) resources.

I am recommending a "textbook" for this course, but we won't be following it very strictly. It's a good book, though, so I'd definitely recommend checking it out. You can buy a hardcover version (which looks great on a shelf!) from Springer, but Prof. Van Oorschot publishes PDFs of the book's chapters for free on his website.

Security Engineering by Ross Anderson (no relation, apart from our academic family tree) is the most fun you'll ever have reading 1,000 pages. We'll use it as a reference, and it's another one to keep handy on your shelf.

Course evaluation

Assignments: *individual* work ("I did this")

Labs: done in pairs

(ENGI 9823) Project: self-directed investigation

Midterm and exam

From the cou	e outline:
Acaden course, work, y It is an	c integrity means taking full responsibility for the academic work you submit in this o that I can evaluate you on the basis of your own knowledge and effort. When you submit u must acknowledge sources of both facts (references) and their presentation (authorship). cademic offence to claim work as original when it has been substantially derived from
another intellig and pro	source without attribution, whether that source is another person or a generative artificial ace tool. If GAI is permitted in a deliverable, you must reference any GAI tools you use ide the sequence of prompts in an appendix.
The project (f	r graduate students) should be a self-directed investigation of a security topic:
attack, a vuln	ability, a defensive technique, etc. Undergraduate case studies should be
	in nature. Graduate projects need to include an element of
	and/or
We will also h	ve traditional written exams: a midterm and a final exam.

Overview

Background

Goals for the course

Goals for computer security

Security goals

Big question:

Is my system/network/data "secure"?

Big answer:

No!

Question asked by the ignorant: "bottom line, is it secure?"		
If we want a more meaningful	, we must ask a more meaningful	

Security questions

Typical SE question:

Can the system do X for me?

Typical security question:

Can the system do X *against* me?

We'll do some negative thinking... but not always!

10/18

Negative thinking in two senses:

- 1. thinking about negative things,
- 2. thinking *in the negative* about what is *not* possible.

We won't *always* be thinking negatively: proper privacy and security practices can ________ amazing and even beautiful things! A secure system is one that can be extended in new and originally-unintended ways.

Thinking about security

Security properties: CIA[AAA]

Security policies

Security mechanisms

Security violations

Security activities

CIA[AAA] properties

- Confidentiality
- Integrity
- Availability
- Authentication
- Authorization
- Accountability

12/18

Confidentiality is often the first goal that people think of, but it's not always the most important. For example: believe it or not, I don't care all that much about the confidentiality of my bank account (maybe if I had more money than student debt, but that's not the case!). However, I care very much about the ______ of my bank balance and about the ______ of the banking system. Authentication is about whether or not someone is who they claim to be (or, in some cases, whether a thing is what someone claims it to be). We will mostly use the word "authentication" in the context of : if the person typing at the keyboard claims to be Jonathan Anderson from Memorial University, how can we know whether or not that claim is true? If the person on the other end of the phone claims to be from your bank's fraud department, how can you know if that's true? Authorization is about ______ is allowed to ______ to _____. Can user alice write to this file? Can bob serve TCP connections from port 80? Accountability is a more abstract concept, but it's also important. We can never prevent all bad behaviours, but some can be discouraged if people know that that bad behaviour will result in negative consequences for them. This is true of **sudo** misuse, corporate embezzlement and global thermonuclear war: many people are dissuaded not by a technical measure but by the fear of consequences. Understanding the security we might want to have enables us to think clearly about...

Security mechanisms

- Techniques, systems, products, SOCs*... whee!
- Do your mechanisms give the **right properties**?
- Don't be vendor-led.
- Don't be mechanism-led.
- Start with the policy!

* See: https://taosecurity.blogspot.com/2018/06/why-do-socs-look-like-this.html



We'll be introduced to lots of techniques in this course: stack smashing, memory safety, fuzzing, ASLR, ROP gadgets, DAC, MAC, ciphers, hashes, John the Ripper, biometrics, compartmentalization, digital signatures, protocols, double-ratcheting private messaging, VPNs, cross-site scripting, SQL injection, Onion sites...
Big screens make for exciting television.
Being vendor-led is OK if your goal is, "we want things that look shiny that are at least as expensive as what our competitors have." Speaking cynically, that's actually what some people's goal is: to show that they've put enough money or work into their system that they can't be fired if things go South. However, that's not a security goal: it's a job security goal!
Policy talks about what we _______... how do we talk about what we ______?



Security policy

Separable from mechanism

What should happen

What should not happen

e.g., "no lone access" vs cryptography, two-factor authentication		
Mechanism is "cool" (everyone loves words like	and),
but mechanism is not our first priority!		
e.g., an integrity policy: video evidence is what was taken, wasn't altered		

Security violations

What's the worst that could happen?

Threat

Vulnerability

Adversary

Attack



	"combination	of circumstances and	d entitites inclined to h	arm assets, i.e., cause
security violation	ns" (Oorschot)			
weakness that could allow a threat to be realized by an				
	a	or	(not a	!) that wants
to violate your policy via an				
an adversary <i>exploits</i> one or more vulnerabilities (the <i>attack vector</i>) in order to				
bring the threate	ened harm about			

Security activities

Proactive:

- Threat modeling and risk assessment
- Prevention and mitigation

Reactive:

- Incident response and post-attack mitigation
- Attribution... and response

This course will focus on the		elements of this problem. How have attackers
exploited vulnerabilities, and how can we use that knowledge to		
that are	to attack?	
Reactive elements of computer security are also important, but they aren't the focus of this course.		
Somebody absolutely needs to do		, to identify
	and	. However, those things are
mostly of interest to engineers insofar as they help us		

Next time...

Threat modeling

Adversarial thinking